Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/615,214	DREIMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emmanuel Sayoc	3746
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Emmanuel Sayoc.	(3)	
(2) Mathew Skaggs.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 December 2005	Time: <u>3pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 103(a)		
Claims discussed: 10, 15, and 22		
Prior art documents discussed: Gannaway et al., Dashner		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Applicant agreed to the outlined examiner's amendments to pla		
Part III.		•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		4
(5	-1/A - 1 1 - D	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – it appropriate)